



The Most Important Methods for Reduction or Detoxification of Aflatoxins in Food and Feed Matrices

Jalal Sadeghizadeh-Yazdi^{1, 2*}

¹ Department of Food Science and Technology, School of Public Health, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

² Environmental Science and Technology Research Center, School of Public Health, Shahid Sadoughi, University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

LETTER TO EDITOR

Article History:

Received: 10 March 2019

Accepted: 20 May 2019

*Corresponding Author:

Jalal Sadeghizadeh-Yazdi

Email:

jsyazdy@yahoo.com

Tel:

+989133584580

Citation: Sadeghizadeh-Yazdi J. *The Most Important Methods for Reduction or Detoxification of Aflatoxins in Food and Feed Matrices*. J Environ Health Sustain Dev. 2019; 4(2): 729-30.

Aflatoxins are the most important mycotoxins in many foods. About 20 different types of aflatoxins have been identified and the most important species include AFB₁, AFB₂, AFM₁, AFG₁, and AFG₂¹. Information achieved from the metabolic activity in human liver indicates that human beings have an average sensitivity to the acute toxicity of aflatoxin B₁. However, these data may demonstrate higher sensitivity to the chronic toxicity of this toxin, especially to its carcinogenicity. Numerous studies confirmed that children may be exposed to aflatoxins by consuming milk from mothers who received aflatoxin in their diets. The potential risk of aflatoxin in diets is obvious and thus extensive efforts are required to reduce or remove this food contamination^{2, 3}. Preventive strategies with regard to production of aflatoxins are divided into two phases of pre- and post- harvest. In pre-harvest phase, the fungal contamination control on the farm is considered⁴. Weather conditions, such as the level of rain or temperature are some

of the factors that affect production of aflatoxins. In addition, management systems such as appropriate plantation, favorable maintenance, prevention of stress, provision of appropriate nutrition, plant pest control, plant disease control, management of product remainder, and post-harvest measures play important roles in controlling aflatoxins⁵.

Post-harvest phase is related to appropriate sorting and storage; therefore, physical, chemical, and biological methods can be used to reduce aflatoxins. Adsorption physical and thermal processes (extrusion, baking, frying, cooking, and roasting) among physical methods, ozonation and chemical agents among chemical methods, and microbial metabolization and enzymes among biological methods reduce aflatoxins. For example *Pantoea* is a genus of Gram-negative bacteria of the family Enterobacteriaceae recently separated from the genus enterobacter. This genus includes at least 20 species. *Pantoea* aflatoxin degradation enzyme (PADE), which is isolated

from the supernatant of *Pantoea* sp.T6, is capable of destroying AFB₁ and can reduce aflatoxin B₁ in the food and feed industry ⁶. Moreover, cell-free supernatant of *Bacillus velezensis* DY3108 has the ability to destroy AFB₁. In addition, *B.velezensis* DY3108 might be a possible candidate for detoxification of AFB₁ in food and feed matrices ⁷. *Armillaria tabescens* is a fungus species in the Physalacriaceae family. Aflatoxin oxidase (AFO), an enzyme generated by *Armillariella tabescens* has the oxidative detoxification activity against aflatoxin B₁ and sterigmatocystin ⁸.

Generally, microwave heating, electron beam and gamma irradiation, UV and pulsed light, electrolyzed water, and cold plasma are the newest methods of Aflatoxins reduction in food and feed matrices. Various studies show that using new technologies has important role in reducing aflatoxins in several foods ⁴. In addition, the level of aflatoxin reduction in foods depends on several factors such as food ingredients, pH, and matrix ⁴. Most techniques used to reduce aflatoxins in food do not cause complete degradation of aflatoxins. Moreover, loss of nutritional value and absence of other contaminants are among the other important issues. Therefore, preventive techniques, rather than degradation methods should be used to prevent the formation of aflatoxins.

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work for commercial use.

References

1. Dimitrieska-Stojkovikj E. Increased health impact of aflatoxins due to climate change: Prospective risk management strategies. *Journal of Food Quality and Hazards Control*. 2018; 5(2): 38-9.
2. Pitt JI, Basilio JC, Abarca ML, et al. Mycotoxins and toxic fungi. *Med Mycol*. 2000; 38(1): 41-6.
3. Bressac B, Kew M, Wands J, et al. Selective G to T mutations of p53 gene in hepatocellular carcinoma from southern Africa. *Nature*. 1991; 530 (6317): 429-31.
4. Pankaja SK, Shi Hu, Keenera KM. A review of novel physical and chemical decontamination technologies for aflatoxin in food. *Trends Food Sci Technol*. 2018; 71: 73-83.
5. Silivano EM, Xiaoxia D, Zhang M, et al. Recent development of aflatoxin contamination biocontrol in agricultural products. *Biological Control*. 2019; 128: 31-9.
6. Xie Y, Wang W, Zhang S. Purification and identification of an aflatoxin B₁ degradation enzyme from *pantoea* sp. T6. *Toxicon*. 2019; 157: 35-42.
7. Shu X. Biological degradation of aflatoxin B₁ by cell-free extracts of *bacillus velezensis* DY3108 with broad PH stability and excellent thermostability. *Toxins (Basel)*. 2018; 10(8): 330.
8. Wu YZ, Lu FP, Jiang HL, et al. The furofuran-ring selectivity, hydrogen peroxide-production and low Km value are the three elements for highly effective detoxification of aflatoxin oxidase. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2015; 76: 125-31.