

**PAPER REVIEW SHEET**

**Title of the submitted paper:** .....

|   | Fully                    | Partly                   | Poorly                   |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b><i>DIMENSIONS OF EVALUATION:</i></b>   |                          |                          |                          |
| 1. <b>[Paper and Journal]</b> How well the submitted paper fits to the journal's Main area of interest and its scope? .....   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• [If not 'fully'] What kind of modifications, if any, would you Suggest?<br/>.....<br/>.....</li> </ul>   |                          |                          |                          |
| 2. <b>[Paper's content and Title]</b> Is the title of the paper adequate to its topic? .....  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• [If not 'fully'] What kind of modifications, if any, would you Suggest?<br/>.....<br/>.....</li> </ul>   |                          |                          |                          |
| 3. <b>[Paper and Literature]</b> Is the topic (and its presentation) up to date ( <i>the State-of-the art</i> )? .....  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• [If not 'fully'] What kind of modifications, if any, would you Suggest?<br/>.....<br/>.....</li> </ul>   |                          |                          |                          |
| 4. <b>[Problem Formulation]</b> Do(as) the author(s) clearly define the problem Including what s/he (they) would like to achieve? .....   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• [If not 'fully'] What kind of modifications, if any, would you Suggest?<br/>.....<br/>.....</li> </ul>   |                          |                          |                          |
| 5. <b>[Innovativeness]</b> Is the paper innovative - in <i>general</i> or just in <i>Methodological</i> or <i>substantive</i> terms? .....<br>(please underline those of the aspects typed in <i>italics</i> to which you primarily refer your opinion) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• [If not 'fully'] What kind of modifications, if any, would you Suggest?<br/>.....<br/>.....</li> </ul>   |                          |                          |                          |
| 6. <b>[The paper's structure]</b> Is the paper structured properly, in <i>general</i> , and in terms of: having the following sections: <i>abstract</i> , <i>discussion</i> Or <i>conclusions</i> sections and the <i>references</i> ? .....            | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

(please underline those of the aspects typed in *italics* to which you primarily refer your opinion)

- [If not 'fully'] What kind of modifications, if any, would you Suggest?

.....  
.....

7. [***The paper's overall quality***] Is the overall quality of the paper technically satisfactory - including its content, structure and the form (i.e., language And terminology used)? .....

- [If not 'fully'] What kind of modifications, if any, would you Suggest?

.....  
.....

8. ***Expected interest*** in the paper:

- What kind of modifications, if any, would you Suggest?

.....  
.....

9. ***Recommendations:*** The paper is suitable for printing - would you Approve it?

YES, approved with no changes needed

Approved if modified - would you please specify the necessary changes:

.....  
.....  
.....

The Reviewer would like to know the Author's reply/reaction to the suggested changes

NO, because of poor overall quality

NO, it does not fit within the scope of the journal

10. For any other comments, please use the reverse or a separate sheet.