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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Sequential batch reactor (SBR) is one of the modified biological treatment systems which is able to remove BOD5, Nitrogen, and phosphorus from wastewater. The object of this study is to determine the removal efficiency of nitrogen, phosphorus, and heavy metals from municipal wastewater by the advanced SBR system.

Materials and Methods: This descriptive-analytical and cross-sectional study was conducted on advanced SBR in Yazd city wastewater treatment plant during a one-year period (from September, 2014 until August, 2015). The samples were collected from the influent and effluent of the advanced SBR as a composite in order to measure BOD5, TKN, NH4+, TP parameters, and heavy metals monthly. Also, statistical t-test was used to compare heavy metals quantities with standard ones.

Results: The results showed that the mean of removal efficiency of BOD5, TKN, NH4+, and TP were 92.24, 80.36, 90.41, and 66.41 percent, respectively. Also, the removal efficiency of Iron (Fe), Plum bum (Pb), Nickel (Ni), Zink (Zn), Chromium (Cr), and Cadmium (Cd) were 47.77 %, 40.71 %, 24.79 %, 12.29 %, 5.70 % and 5.65 %, respectively.

Conclusion: The high removal efficiency of BOD5, TKN, and NH4+ showed that this advanced SBR system had an appropriate efficiency for nitrification. Phosphorus removal (TP) had a lower efficiency than those of NH4+ and TKN, but it was within the environmental standard limits. On the other hand, in the advanced SBR the removal efficiency of heavy metals for Cd was not within the standard limits.
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Introduction

Nowadays, treatment and reuse of domestic wastewater is required for public health maintenance, environmental protection, prevention of water resources contamination, and reuse of treated wastewater in agriculture and industry. This is crucial because of the population increase and water resources shortage 1, 2. Nitrogen and phosphorus are among the wastewater pollutants, which can increase eutrophication and decrease water resources’ qualities when entering the surface water resources 3, 4. Heavy metals are the other pollutants which enter the water resources through domestic and industrial wastewaters. They can cause many problems for both health and environment 5.

Also, along with the increasing growth in biological technology, the physical, chemical,
biological treatment systems of wastewater have developed considerably. Among the different processes of wastewater treatment, biological treatment systems are the desirable domestic ones because of their simple and adaptable operation, low operation and maintenance cost, and high efficiency. Advanced Sequential Batch Reactor (or advanced SBR) is one of the modified activated sludge biological treatment systems. This reactor is simple and highly adaptable to hydraulic and organic shocks, and is able to remove BOD as well as phosphorous and also do intermittent nitrification and denitrification. The difference between advanced SBR process and conventional SBR system is that the raw wastewater flow is continuous in this system while the other stages, consisting of feeding or filling, reaction or aeration, settling, decanting, and idling are similar to the conventional SBR. In the advanced SBR, the wastewater was introduced into pre-react zone which is separated from the whole reactor by a parapet. Therefore, the mixed liquid will have more rest in the settlement and discharge stages. Also, because of high F/M ratio in the pre-react zone, the process acts selectively and so prevents filamentous bacteria growth and makes settling process ameliorate. After pre-react zone, the main react zone starts which consists of aeration, settling, and idling. The wastewater enters the basin through the baffle wall pores and after the aeration and the settling processes, the effluent exits from the systems sequentially. The advanced SBR advantage over the conventional SBR process is that this system is fully automatic. Additionally, because the mixed liquid will have more rest in the settlement and discharge stages of SBR process, the efficiency of nitrogen and phosphorus removal increases and this is considered as a nitrogen biological removal process. Also, because it requires one basin, the investment costs decrease considerably in relation to conventional SBR process. The advanced SBR process is applicable in many countries like China, Ukraine, Peru, Qatar, and United State for replacing with the old stabilization ponds or as a treatment process in the low space regions or in the case of requiring effluents with higher quality. With respect to the high biological removal efficiency of nitrogen and through the advanced SBR, this study aims to determine the removal efficiency of Nitrogen, phosphorus, and heavy metals by the advanced SBR process in municipal wastewater of Yazd city.

Materials and Methods

This descriptive-analytical and cross-sectional study was conducted on advanced SBR in Yazd city wastewater treatment plant during a one-year period (from September, 2014 until August, 2015). The advanced SBR system of Yazd city was established in 2012 to treat the municipal wastewater; its capacity was 150 and 350 thousand people in the first and final phases, respectively. The average entering flow rate of this treatment plant was 170 liters per second during the study.

In this process, the wastewater was first introduced into the preliminary treatment units consisting of screening and grit removal chambers and then it was discharged into the advanced SBR reactors. Then, in each reactor, the mixed operations with retention time of 0.9 hours and the aeration with 2.4 hours were performed. After the final stages of biological treatment, the sedimentation process has started with one hour retention time and continues until the complete discharge of effluent within a half hour of retention time. All of these stages were performed in one reactor and the total retention time in the whole system was 4.8 hours in each period. Also, the additional sludge was decanted in every operating shift (Figure 1).
The samples were collected from influent and effluent of the process as a mixed method monthly to measure TKN (Total Kjeldahl nitrogen), \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), TP parameters, and heavy metals. In this study, the Kjeldahl Digestion for TKN, the HACH DR 5000 Spectrophotometer for \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and TP, and the Atomic Absorption instrument were used for determination. In order to collect the samples, the special sampling containers were applied, then, the samples were transported to the laboratory in the standard conditions and the mentioned parameters were determined according to “Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater” book. Finally, after collecting the data, the Excel software was used to provide the charts, tables, and data analysis.

**Results**

**Removal efficiency of TKN and \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) by the advanced SBR system**

The results showed that the mean ± standard deviation of the annual TKN concentrations in the influent and effluent of the advanced SBR were \( 76.79 \pm 7.34 \) and \( 15.22 \pm 4.16 \) (mg/l), respectively and those of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) were \( 49.95 \pm 4.58 \) and \( 4.43 \pm 1.73 \) mg/l (Table 1). The annually averaged removal efficiencies of TKN and \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) were 80.36 ± 4.43 and 90.41 ± 3.76 %, respectively. Also, the results indicated that the output average of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) concentrations in November and January and those of TKN in November and December were lower and the removal efficiencies in these months were higher than those in the other months of the year (Figures 2, 3).
Table 1: The Mean and standard deviation of the NH₄⁺ and TKN concentrations (mg/l) in the influent and effluent of the advanced SBR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months of year</th>
<th>TKN Influent Mean ± SD</th>
<th>NH₄⁺ Influent Mean ± SD</th>
<th>TKN Effluent Mean ± SD</th>
<th>NH₄⁺ Effluent Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September-October 2014</td>
<td>75.98 ± 17.69</td>
<td>45.78 ± 7.77</td>
<td>12.75 ± 1.29</td>
<td>6.95 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October–November 2014</td>
<td>64.32 ± 5.83</td>
<td>46.10 ± 3.78</td>
<td>8.55 ± 1.03</td>
<td>2.15 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November-December 2014</td>
<td>66.42 ± 3.48</td>
<td>46.17 ± 2.83</td>
<td>8.07 ± 1.70</td>
<td>3.31 ± 2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2014-January 2015</td>
<td>69.33 ± 5.81</td>
<td>49.70 ± 8.01</td>
<td>16.12 ± 2.41</td>
<td>2.16 ± 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January-February 2015</td>
<td>77.48 ± 15.56</td>
<td>50.50 ± 5.29</td>
<td>17.36 ± 1.11</td>
<td>7.12 ± 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February-March 2015</td>
<td>81.73 ± 11.04</td>
<td>57.96 ± 9.23</td>
<td>13.85 ± 1.35</td>
<td>4.71 ± 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March-April 2015</td>
<td>89.01 ± 19.79</td>
<td>54.45 ± 3.88</td>
<td>16.15 ± 0.77</td>
<td>3.72 ± 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April–May 2015</td>
<td>74.62 ± 14.45</td>
<td>48.12 ± 10.88</td>
<td>13.15 ± 2.51</td>
<td>4.60 ± 1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-June 2015</td>
<td>78.25 ± 7.80</td>
<td>46.75 ± 7.51</td>
<td>16.91 ± 1.12</td>
<td>6.66 ± 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-July 2015</td>
<td>79.06 ± 7.22</td>
<td>45.18 ± 8.84</td>
<td>18.01 ± 2.81</td>
<td>5.08 ± 1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-August 2015</td>
<td>85.75 ± 14.74</td>
<td>57.91 ± 9.38</td>
<td>21.75 ± 13.76</td>
<td>4.20 ± 2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August-September 2015</td>
<td>79.50 ± 18.53</td>
<td>50.81 ± 9.53</td>
<td>20.06 ± 2.69</td>
<td>6.21 ± 1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean</td>
<td>76.79 ± 7.34</td>
<td>49.95 ± 4.58</td>
<td>15.22 ± 4.16</td>
<td>4.74 ± 1.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: The mean concentrations of TKN in the effluent and the removal efficiency of TKN during the different months of year

Figure 3: The mean concentrations of NH₄⁺ in the effluent and the removal efficiency of NH₄⁺ during the different months of year
Removal efficiency of TP by the advanced SBR system

The mean ± standard deviation of the annual TP concentrations in the influent and effluent of the advanced SBR were 5.61 ± 1.14 and 1.81 ± 0.50 mg/l, respectively. The annually averaged removal efficiency of TP was 66.41 ± 11%. Also, the results showed that the effluent average of the TP concentrations in December and January were lower and the removal efficiencies in these months were higher than those in the other months of the year (Table 2) (Figure 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months of year</th>
<th>Influent Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Effluent Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September-October 2014</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.92</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October-November 2014</td>
<td>5.62 ± 0.25</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November-December 2014</td>
<td>5.34 ± 0.44</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2014-January 2015</td>
<td>8.90 ± 7.34</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January-February 2015</td>
<td>5.62 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February-March 2015</td>
<td>5.53 ± 0.35</td>
<td>2.86 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March-April 2015</td>
<td>3.88 ± 2.89</td>
<td>1.84 ± 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April-May 2015</td>
<td>5.16 ± 0.35</td>
<td>2.10 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-June 2015</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-July 2015</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.47</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-August 2015</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.24</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August-September 2015</td>
<td>5.29 ± 0.58</td>
<td>2.11 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean</td>
<td>5.61 ± 1.14</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: The mean concentrations of TP in the effluent and the removal efficiency of TP during the different months of year

Removal efficiency of heavy metals by the advanced SBR system

The results represented that the mean removal efficiencies of heavy metals were 47.77 % (Fe), 40.71 % (Pb), 24.79 % (Ni), 12.29 % (Zn), 5.70 % (Cr), and 5.65 % (Cd), respectively (Figure 5), (Table 3).
Discussion

Removal of TKN and NH₄⁺
In this study, the removal efficiencies of TKN and NH₄⁺ were 80.36 ± 4.43 and 90.41 ± 3.76 %, respectively. Further, they were higher in November and December than those in the other months of the year. TKN is the sum of organic nitrogen and ammonium (NH₄⁺). Gradually, the amounts of the TKN (organic and ammoniacal nitrogen) decreased and those of nitrate increased in the nitrification of wastewater treatment. Thus, further the removal efficiency of TKN, the better is the nitrification performance. The optimum temperature of microorganism responsible for nitrification process is 30 °C. Because the weather temperature reaches to 40 °C in the summer and it gets cold in winter, the optimum temperature of nitrification and as a result the removal of TKN and NH₄⁺ were not provided. Moreover, because the nitrification process performance depends on the BOD/TKN ratio and this ratio is better to be less than or equal to 3, it can be seen that either the loading of input BOD₅ is lower or that of TKN is higher. Consequently, the nitrification process is performed in a better condition and the removal efficiency of TKN and NH₄⁺ are also increased.

Removal of Total Phosphorus
In this study, the mean removal efficiency of TP was 66.41 ± 11.24 % and the removal...
Efficiencies were higher in December and January than those of the other months of the year. Zeinaddine et al. showed that the removal efficiency of phosphorus by advanced SBR was 59.5% in average, the hydraulic input loading increased, and the removal efficiency decreased. Therefore, it can be seen that the hydraulic input loadings in December and January were less than those of the other months of the year and had an effect on the removal efficiency of phosphorus. Generally, the removal efficiency of phosphorus by advanced SBR was less than those of TKN and NH$_4^+$. The removal of phosphorus requires anaerobic and aerobic conditions subsequently, thus, the phosphorus is discharged in the anaerobic condition and is consumed in the aerobic condition. Because the advanced SBR is an aerobic process, we require an anaerobic condition to increase the removal of phosphorous.

### Removal of heavy metals

In this study, the removal efficiency of heavy metals for Iron (Fe), Plumbum (Pb), Nickel (Ni), Zinc (Zn), Chromium (Cr), and Cadmium (Cd) were 47.77 %, 40.71 %, 24.79 %, 12.29 %, 5.70 % and 5.65 %, respectively. Pagnanelli and his colleagues surveyed the removal efficiency of heavy metals by SBR system and the results showed that the effluents of Cd and Pb were 0.45 and 0.07 mg/l, respectively. The results also indicated that the main mechanism of heavy metals' removal from wastewater is biological absorption on biomass surface plus physical-chemical mechanism. The Pb metal is precipitated at pH 7.5 as a hydroxide even in a very low concentration. So, with respect to the pH of wastewater in this study (7-7.5), it can be seen that the removal efficiency of Pb is higher than those of the other metals. Indeed, increasing the retention time of sludge or adding the biological sludge can be used to increase the removal efficiency of the other metals. The previously conducted studies stated that the removal efficiencies of heavy metals can be increased by using adsorption process and applying adsorbents particularly low-cost (such as activated carbon and tree bark) and biological (such as algal and bacterial biomass, skin of crab, etc) ones.

### Conclusion

The high removal efficiencies of TKN and NH$_4^+$ showed that this process is appropriate for performing nitrification. Indeed, in this condition the amount of nitrate is increased in effluent and an anaerobic condition is required for the removal of nitrate. The removal efficiency of phosphorus (TP) is less than that of TKN and NH$_4^+$ by advanced SBR process. Because the removal of phosphorus requires anaerobic and aerobic conditions subsequently and the advanced SBR process is an aerobic process, we require anaerobic condition to increase the removal of phosphorus. The removal efficiencies of heavy metals by the advanced SBR showed that this system is not appropriate for the removal of Cd within the standard limit.
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