

## Determination of a Statistical Model to Predict COD and TKN from the BOD<sub>5</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> Results

Parvaneh Talebi Hematabadi<sup>1</sup>, Hadi Zarei Mahmoud Abadi<sup>2\*</sup>, Hadi Eslami<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Natural Resources Engineering, Branch of Environmental Pollution, School of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Islamic Azad University of Meybod, Yazd, Iran.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Environment, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Islamic Azad University of Meybod, Yazd, Iran.

<sup>3</sup> Environmental Science and Technology Research Center, Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

### ARTICLE INFO

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### Article History:

Received: 23 April 2016

Accepted: 28 August 2016

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Hadi Zarei Mahmoud Abadi

#### Email:

hadyzareei@yahoo.com

#### Tel:

+983527770163

#### Keywords:

Quality Control,  
Wastewater,  
Predict Parameter,  
Statistical Model,  
Yazd City.

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** The development of an appropriate model for the quality control of an industrial wastewater treatment system can save the time as well as the cost. This study was performed to determine an appropriate model in order to predict the COD and TKN parameters by BOD<sub>5</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in the Meybod industrial estate wastewater treatment plant (WWTP).

**Materials and Methods:** This descriptive – analytical study was performed on 120 samples of the influent and effluent of the industrial estate wastewater treatment plant in Jahan Abad, Meybod, Yazd in 2015. The studied parameters were BOD<sub>5</sub>, TKN, COD, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. After measuring, they were imported to SPSS and Excel software to determine the relationship between them and then the linear regression model of the statistical method was used.

**Results:** The predictive results of COD values on the basis of BOD<sub>5</sub> in the regression model showed that the coefficient of determination was 0.88 and the correlation coefficient was 0.93 (p = 0.00) for this relationship. The prediction of TKN values on the basis of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in the regression model showed that for this relationship the determination coefficient of TKN and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> influent parameters was 0.87 and the correlation coefficient was 0.93 (p = 0.00).

**Conclusion:** This study represented that using the linear regression model for predicting COD and TKN values through BOD<sub>5</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was in close accordance with the laboratory data and can thus be applied when the Meybod industrial estate WWTP faces time limitations or sampling problems.

**Citation:** Talebi Hematabadi P, Zarei Mahmoud Abadi H, Eslami H. **Determination of a Statistical Model to Predict COD and TKN from the BOD<sub>5</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> Results.** J Environ Health Sustain Dev. 2016; 1(2): 82-90.

### Introduction

Nowadays, rise of concern about the environment has made experts pay more attention to correct and appropriate operations and more exact control of the wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs)<sup>1</sup>. The main goals of wastewater treatment system's establishment include preservation of community health, protection of the environment, prevention of water resources' contamination,

reuse of the treated wastewater in agriculture and environment<sup>2, 3</sup>. The improper performance of industrial and municipal WWTPs and discharge of polluted effluent into the water resources can make the environment polluted and endanger human beings, animals, and plants' health<sup>4-7</sup>.

The industrial estate WWTP in Jahan Abad, Meybod, located in 30 Km of Yazd city, has two zones of aerobic and anaerobic. The operating

units of JahanAbad industrial estate WWTP consist of the raw wastewater pump station with manually screens, grit chamber channel, equalization unit, anaerobic unit of UABR, aerobic process of IFAS, settling basin, and chlorination unit. The treatment system of Up-flow Anaerobic Biological Reactor (UABR) is an anaerobic system which removes organic matter and produces biogas. In this system, the reactor is operated during a short hydraulic retention time because of high VSS level. The conditions of the influent wastewater flow and the produced biogas result in wastewater mixing with the produced granule<sup>8-10</sup>. The integrated fixed-film activated sludge (IFAS), with a fixed media, is a type of activated sludge system in which the media increases the microbial population. This system makes use of both the suspended and attached growths. The use of this activated sludge system causes a decrease in WWTP volume and dimension<sup>11,12</sup>.

The more appropriate and safer control and operation of the WWTP can be performed by a suitable model to predict the WWTP efficiency on the basis of observations and the past data of the key parameters of the wastewater quality<sup>13</sup>. The various parameters such as BOD<sub>5</sub>, COD, TKN, ammonia, etc have a main role in determining the efficiency of the wastewater treatment system and controlling the quality of the effluent. These parameters are usually measured and experimented in the environmental chemistry laboratory, but a long time is generally required for measuring these parameters<sup>14</sup>. To deal with these problems, the development of a suitable model for controlling the quality of the effluent in a wastewater treatment system on the basis of some simple parameters can save time and cost<sup>15</sup>. Various studies have shed light on modeling with respect to different objectives for example; the study performed by Akratos et al, on the removal efficiency prediction of COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> for the treatment of wastewater<sup>16</sup> and the study carried out by Gikas et al. (2011), on the efficiency and modeling of the wetland system<sup>17</sup>. The results of these researches suggested that higher efficiency can be attained through this model. Also, the results of modeling studies were in close

accordance with the laboratory data<sup>18</sup>. As a result, the objective of the present study was the determination of the statistical method to predict the TKN and COD parameters by the BOD<sub>5</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> results in the Meybod industrial estate waste water treatment plant.


### Materials and Methods

This descriptive-analytical study was performed on influent and effluent samples of the industrial estate wastewater treatment plant in JahanAbad, Meybod. The samples were collected during a six-month period (from June to November) in 2015 and about 10 liters was sampled in each stages. To do the experiments, 5 samples were considered for each parameter in every month regarding the studied chemical and biochemical parameters (BOD<sub>5</sub>, TKN, COD, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) which made the total number of 120 sample cases. The mentioned samples were collected in plastic containers for chemical and biochemical experiments and were then transferred to laboratory in particular conditions of sampling for further investigations. In this study, the measurement of the surveyed parameters was conducted based on the standard method applied<sup>19</sup>, in which the 5-day instrumental method (2510 D) for BOD<sub>5</sub>, the open reflux digestive method (5220 B) for COD, Nosalization (4500 NH<sub>4</sub>-C) for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and the instrumental Kjeldahl for TKN were used. Finally, the results achieved through the experiments were transformed to SPSS and Excel software. The statistical methods of the linear regression model and correlation coefficient then were applied for predicting COD and TKN values by BOD<sub>5</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, respectively.

### Results

In this study, the chemical and biochemical parameters consist of BOD<sub>5</sub>, COD, TKN, and ammonia in the influent and effluent of the Meybod industrial estate wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) were studied.


The results show that the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the input and output of BOD<sub>5</sub> were  $450.76 \pm 28.21$  and  $86.36 \pm 9.63$  mg/l, respectively. Also, the mean removal efficiency of BOD<sub>5</sub> was  $80.84 \pm 1.57\%$  (Figure 1).



**Figure 1:** Changes' trend and the removal efficiency of the effluent BOD<sub>5</sub> in Meybod industrial estate WWTP

The results show that the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the influent and effluent of COD were  $1467.5 \pm 115.99$  and  $299.13 \pm 29.02$  mg/l.


Also, the mean removal efficiency of COD was  $79.62 \pm 0.91\%$  (Figure 2).



**Figure 2:** Changes' trend and removal efficiency of the effluent COD in Meybod industrial estate WWTP

The coefficient of determination was 0.88 and the correlation coefficient was 0.93 which show that this relationship can predict the effluent COD


with a power of 88%. Moreover, this relationship was statistically significant on the basis of Pearson's correlation test ( $p = 0.00$ ) (Figure 3).



**Figure 3:** Relationship between the influent COD with the influent BOD<sub>5</sub> entering the Meybod industrial estate WWTP using linear regression model

The coefficient of determination was 0.62 and the correlation coefficient was 0.79 which show that this relationship can predict the effluent COD with a power of 66%. This relationship was


statistically significant on the basis of Pearson's correlation test ( $p = 0.00$ ) (Figure 4). So, this model is more efficient for predicting the relationship between the influent COD and BOD<sub>5</sub>.



**Figure 4:** Relationship between the effluent COD with the effluent BOD<sub>5</sub> discharging from Meybod industrial estate WWTP using linear regression model

The results show that the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the influent and effluent of TKN were  $80.33 \pm 5.27$  and  $57.3 \pm 4.69$  mg/l. Further,


the mean removal efficiency of TKN was  $28.72 \pm 2.18\%$  (Figure 5).



**Figure 5:** Changes' trend and removal efficiency of effluent TKN in Meybod industrial estate WWTP

The results show that the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the influent and effluent of TKN were  $50.7 \pm 3.79$  and  $36.2 \pm 3.23$  mg/l. More, the mean


removal efficiency of TKN was  $28.64 \pm 2.39\%$  (Figure 6).



**Figure 6:** Changes' trend and removal efficiency of effluent  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in Meybod industrial estate WWTP

The coefficient of determination was 0.87 and the correlation coefficient was 0.93 which show that this relationship can predict the effluent TKN


with a power of 87.7% and this relationship was statistically significant on the basis of Pearson's correlation test ( $p = 0.00$ ) (Figure 7).



**Figure 7:** Relationship between the influent TKN with the influent  $\text{NH}_4^+$  entering to Meybod industrial estate WWTP using linear regression model

The coefficient of determination was 0.701 and the correlation coefficient was 0.83 which show that this relationship can predict the effluent TKN

with a power of 83.8%. This relationship was statistically significant based on Pearson's correlation test ( $p = 0.00$ ) (Figure 8).



**Figure 8:** Relationship between the effluent TKN with the effluent NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> discharging from Meybod industrial estate WWTP using linear regression model

## Discussion

### *The Application of the model for BOD<sub>5</sub> and COD*

The results of this study showed that the mean removal efficiency of BOD<sub>5</sub> and COD in Meybod industrial estate WWTP were  $80.84 \pm 1.57$  and  $79.62 \pm 0.91\%$ , respectively. While, the study conducted by Baraee et al, on application of wastewater treatment of Abadan industrial estate for stabilizing ponds, showed that the removal efficiencies of COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> were 89 and 87 percent, respectively<sup>20</sup>. However, another study performed by Naddafi et al, on industrial Bou-ali zone in Hamedan demonstrated that the mean removal efficiencies of COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> were 89 and 91 percent, respectively<sup>21</sup>. The comparison of this study with the similar studies shows that the combination of the UABR anaerobic process and IFAS aerobic process has a fairly suitable efficiency in relation to the other industrial wastewater treatment processes.

The linear regression model was used to determine the relationship value between the two variables of the influent COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> and also to predict the COD value on the basis of BOD<sub>5</sub>. It was reported that the coefficient of determination for this relationship was 0.88 and the Pearson's correlation coefficient was 0.93. It means that the attained relationship predicts the influent COD as strong as 88 percent. Also, this relationship between the two

variables of the influent COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> represented that the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) for this relationship was 0.62 and the Pearson's correlation coefficient was 0.79. In other words, the attained relationship predicts the output COD with a strength of 66 percent and as a result, the efficiency of this model for predicting the relationship between the effluent COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> is more than that of influent. In various studies, the regression model can be used for predicting the different parameters especially when the measurement of the pollutant amounts is difficult in the different time periods<sup>22</sup>. The study carried out by Oliveira-Esquerre et al, on the application of the linear regression model for predicting the input and output of the BOD<sub>5</sub> values of an aerated lagoon of wastewater treatment system at a pulp and paper mill, showed that the  $R^2$  value was 0.57 for predicting the influent BOD<sub>5</sub> value and 0.67 for that of the effluent BOD<sub>5</sub><sup>23</sup>. Yet, Mjalli et al, who investigated the determination of wastewater treatment system efficiency by using artificial neural net (ANN) model, reported that the value of the model coefficient of determination for predicting COD was 0.63 on the basis of BOD<sub>5</sub><sup>13</sup>. Further, Akrotos et al, studied the predicting COD values on the basis of BOD<sub>5</sub> in the artificial wetland processes by using the artificial neural net and reported that the value of the model coefficient of determination was 0.69<sup>16</sup>. Therefore, it can be seen that the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) in the used linear regression

model in this study was higher than that of the similar studies. This can be due to differences in the measuring precision and the standard deviation value of the measured parameters. The more is the changing value of the measured parameters in a period of time, the less is the precision value of the linear relationship between these parameters, and consequently the value of that  $R^2$  will be less. On the other hand, the high value of  $R^2$  can be an indicator of the high linear relationship between the two surveyed parameters. In conclusion, it can be entrenched that the linear regression model has a good efficiency in predicting COD value from that of  $BOD_5$  and this model can be used in the case of having problems for the sampling and performing the experiments.

#### ***The Application of the model for $NH_4^+$ and TKN***

The mean removal efficiencies of TKN and  $NH_4^+$  in the Meybod industrial estate WWTP were  $28.72 \pm 2.18$  and  $28.64 \pm 2.39\%$ , respectively. Also, the linear regression model was used for predicting the TKN values on the basis of  $NH_4^+$ . Its results showed that the coefficient of determination for this relationship between the input TKN and  $NH_4^+$  parameters was 0.87 while the correlation coefficient was 0.93 which indicates that the gained relationship can predict the output TKN with a power of 87.7 percent. Furthermore, the coefficient of determination for this relationship between the output TKN and  $NH_4^+$  parameters was 0.701 and the correlation coefficient was 0.83 which indicates that the gained relationship can predict the output TKN with a power of 83.8 percent.

In a study carried out by Akrotos et al, on predicting  $NH_4^+$  values by using artificial neural net in the wetland wastewater treatment system, the results showed that the value of  $R^2$  was 0.42<sup>24</sup>. The high value of  $R^2$  in the linear regression model of the current study in relation to the similar studies can be due to difference in the input wastewater quality and the changes in the surveyed parameters. In other words, with higher value of these changes, there will be no appropriate linear relationship between these parameters. In conclusion, the high value of the

coefficient of determination in the linear regression model indicates that there is a suitable linear relationship between the TKN and  $NH_4^+$  parameters and the model has a good efficiency for predicting TKN values on the basis of  $NH_4^+$ .

#### **Conclusion**

With respect to the results achieved from the surveyed parameters, it can be concluded that modeling through the linear regression model for predicting the COD and TKN values on the basis of  $BOD_5$  and  $NH_4^+$  was in close accordance with the laboratory data. Thus, this model is recommended to be used while operating experts are faced with time limitations or sampling problems in different time periods in the Meybod industrial estate WWTP.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This article was extracted of a M.Sc. thesis approved by Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences. The authors would like to appreciate Chief Executive Officer and staffs of Wastewater Treatment Plant of Meybod Industrial Estate.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences.

#### **Conflict of interest**

We have no competing interests.

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use.

#### **References**

1. Hong Y-ST, Rosen MR, Bhamidimarri R. Analysis of a municipal wastewater treatment plant using a neural network-based pattern analysis. *Water Res.* 2003; 37(7): 1608-18.
2. Zazouli MA, Ghahramani E, Ghorbanian AlahAbad M et al. Survey of activated sludge process performance in treatment of agghala industrial town wastewater in Golestan province

- in 2007. Iranian Journal of Health and Environment. 2010; 3(1): 59-66.[In persian]
3. Piadeh F, Moghaddam MRA, Mardan S. Present situation of wastewater treatment in the Iranian industrial estates: Recycle and reuse as a solution for achieving goals of eco-industrial parks. Resources, Conserv Recycl. 2014; 92: 172-8.
  4. Vega E, Lesikar B, Pillai SD. Transport and survival of bacterial and viral tracers through submerged-flow constructed wetland and sand-filter system. Bioresour technol. 2003; 89(1): 49-56.
  5. Shahi DH, Eslami H, Ehrampoosh M, et al. Comparing the efficiency of *Cyperus alternifolius* and *Phragmites australis* in municipal wastewater treatment by subsurface constructed wetland. Pak J Biol Sci. 2013; 16(8): 379.
  6. Eslami H, Hematabadi PT, Ghelmani SV, et al. The performance of advanced sequencing batch reactor in wastewater treatment plant to remove organic materials and linear alkyl benzene sulfonates. Jundishapur Journal of Health Sciences. 2015; 7(3): 33-9.
  7. Mohsen MS, Jaber JO. Potential of industrial wastewater reuse. Desalination. 2003; 152(1): 281-9.
  8. Chong S, Sen TK, Kayaalp A, et al. The performance enhancements of upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactors for domestic sludge treatment—A State-of-the-art review. Water res. 2012; 46(11): 3434-70.
  9. Abbasi T, Abbasi S. Formation and impact of granules in fostering clean energy production and wastewater treatment in upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactors. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2012; 16(3): 708-12.
  10. Zhang B, Liu Y, Tian C, et al. A bibliometric analysis of research on upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) from 1983 to 2012. Scientometrics. 2014; 100(1): 189-202.
  11. Kim HS, Gellner JW, Boltz JP, et al. Effects of integrated fixed film activated sludge media on activated sludge settling in biological nutrient removal systems. Water Res. 2010; 44(5): 1553-61.
  12. Moretti P, Choubert J, Canler J, et al. Understanding the contribution of biofilm in an integrated fixed-film-activated sludge system (IFAS) designed for nitrogen removal. Water Sci Technol. 2015; 71(10):1500-6.
  13. Mjalli FS, Al-Asheh S, Alfadala H. Use of artificial neural network black-box modeling for the prediction of wastewater treatment plants performance. J Environ Manage. 2007; 83(3): 329-38.
  14. Harremoës P, Capodaglio A, Hellström B, et al. Wastewater treatment plants under transient loading—performance, modelling and control. Water Sci Technol. 1993; 27(12):71-115.
  15. Park S, Han CA nonlinear soft sensor based on multivariate smoothing procedure for quality estimation in distillation columns. Comput Chemical Engin. 2000; 24(2): 871-7.
  16. Akrotos CS, Papaspyros JN, Tsihrintzis VA. An artificial neural network model and design equations for BOD and COD removal prediction in horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Chem Eng J. 2008; 143(1): 96-110.
  17. Gikas GD, Tsihrintzis VA, Akrotos CS. Performance and modeling of a vertical flow constructed wetland—maturation pond system. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng. 2011; 46(7): 692-708.
  18. Aizenchtadt E, Ingman D, Friedler E. Quality control of wastewater treatment: A new approach. Eur J of Oper Res. 2008; 189(2): 445-58.
  19. APHA. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. Washington: American Public Health Association; 2005.
  20. Baraee I, Farzadkia M, Jafarzadeh N, et al. Study on the application of wastewater treatment of abadan industrial estate for stabilizing ponds. Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2013 09/23; 15(3): 23-30.
  21. Naddafi K, Vaezi F, Farzadkia M, et al. Study of aerated lagoons in treating industrial effluent from industrial Bou-ali zone in Hamedan. Journal of Water and Wastewater. 2005; 16(2): 47-53.[In persian]



22. Khorasani N, Shahbazi A, Sartaj M, et al. Application of regression models in estimation of urban runoff pollution load. *Iranian Journal of Natural Resources*. 2004; 57(2): 479-90.
23. Oliveira-Esquerre KP, Seborg DE, Mori M, et al. Application of steady-state and dynamic modeling for the prediction of the BOD of an aerated lagoon at a pulp and paper mill: Part II. *Nonlinear approaches*. *Chem Eng J*. 2004; 105(1): 61-9.
24. Akrotos CS, Papaspyros JN, Tsihrintzis VA. Total nitrogen and ammonia removal prediction in horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands: use of artificial neural networks and development of a design equation. *Bioresource Technol*. 2009; 100(2): 586-96.