A Review on the Importance of Hormones Monitoring and Their Removal in Conventional Wastewater Treatment Systems
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Introduction: Micro pollutants have become one of the most important environmental concerns around the world. These natural and synthetic compounds have been called Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs) due to their interfere with the normal function of the endocrine system in humans and animals. They include natural and synthetic hormones and their metabolites, surfactant, insecticide, as well as some pharmaceuticals and health care products. Because of these compounds effects, importance of their monitoring in Iran is perceived like other countries.

Materials and Methods: This paper was carried out on the basis of studies accomplished from 2000 to 2017 and also the ones published in databases such as Google Scholar, Elsevier, Scopus, Science direct, Magiran, and SID using hormone removal, micro pollutant removal, removal hormones from wastewater, sex hormones removal, steroidal hormones, hormone removal from wastewater, and removal efficiency of micro pollutants as the keywords. PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement was used for selecting articles.

Results: Sexual reproduction of fish exposed to estrogenic compounds was changed. Phytoestrogens exist on a certain strain of clover which caused severe infertility in sheep grazing on them. Some studies have reported that a decrease in men's sperm and breast cancer in women are caused by exposure to estrogenic compounds.

Conclusion: According to the current study, further studies are needed to determine the entry routes of steroid hormones into aquatic environment, the detection techniques and measurements, as well as the best removal method in Iran.
of primary importance due to health issues. These natural and synthetic compounds have attracted special attention due to their interference in normal function of endocrine system in human and animals. All these disrupting compounds are called Endocrine Disrupting Compounds (EDCs), which are referred to emerging pollutants in some literatures. When their concentration is higher than threshold in the environment, they will have adverse effects on humans and other creatures. EDCs mainly include natural hormones, synthetic hormones and their metabolites, non-steroidal hormones, and synthetic compounds which are used as plasticizer, fire retardant, surfactants, insecticides, and some pharmaceuticals.

Interference mechanisms in compounds include: imitation of hormones effect, fighting against the effects of hormones, and disruption in hormones synthesis, hormones metabolism and building special hormone receptors. Most of these compounds reacting with hormone receptors are estrogenic compounds and few of them have androgenic or anti-androgenic potentiality. Among these disrupting compounds, hormones resulting from human and animal wastes are often known as steroidal hormones and are distinguished from others by their high estrogenic potential.

**Endocrine Disrupting Compounds properties**

Some of natural and synthetic hormones resulting from human and animal waste act as destructors of endocrine glands. Furthermore, some of these estrogens imitate the compounds of plants. With regard to their origin, these compounds are classified as Natural estrogenic/androgenic hormones: E1, E2, E3, testosterone and others. Synthetic hormones: EE2, Diethylstilbestrol, Norethindrone and others. Phytomyco estrogens: Diadzein, Genesis, Zalenon and others. Studies showed that EE2 and E2 are estrogenic components with high potentials, followed by E1 and E3. These natural and synthetic hormones have been of great concern, since with their low concentration (in nano-gram per liter range have potential of adverse effects on aquatic beings). Natural estrogens have the same tetra cyclic network including phenol ring, two cyclohexane rings and a ring of cyclopentane. Physical and chemical characteristics of these compounds play a significant role in predicting their fate in natural and engineered environment. Estrogens are not good soluble in water. Octanol-water partition coefficient (Kow) is defined as a proportion of dissolving a compound in octanol and water at a specific temperature under equilibrium conditions. Since dispersion of material between water and other natural environments is always relative, the amount of Kow is appropriate to predict the absorption or dissolution of a compound. The log of Kow estrogenic compounds is in the range of 2.5-4. As a result, their hydrophilicity is moderate and they tend to be broadly distributed in solid environments. A large number of total estrogens produced by body are in the form of conjugated estrogen with their urine. This polar conjugates are biologically inactive and their solubility in water is higher in comparison with compounds that are not conjugate. Despite most excreted hormones by humans are conjugated, studies have shown that most of estrogenic hormones present in the wastewater and effluent are in the form of free estrogens and sulfur estrogens. This indicates that the de-conjugation has happened at this time. De-conjugation can be done by Escherichia coli present in human intestinal flora and Beta-glucosidase enzyme.

**Hormones’ sources and pathways in environment**

Hormones can enter the environment through point sources (such as effluents of Water and Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs)) and non-point sources (like agriculture runoff). As mentioned earlier, human and animal wastes are the main source of steroid hormones in aquatic environments. Natural and synthetic hormones and their metabolites finally enter the wastewater treatment plant. Both treated solid and liquid wastes are known as potential pathways for these compounds to enter the environment. Three types of natural estrogenic compounds (E1, E2,
and E3) are produced in human body and are all driven from cholesterol. E2 is the first metabolite in pregnant women and has the highest potential and E3 is the metabolite of E1 and E2. An average estrogenic hormone in women feces is two times higher than that of men which can reach to more than 6 mg/day during pregnancy. In addition to the natural estrogenic compounds, synthetic estrogens used in medicine and are digested, eventually reach to sewage treatment plant through human waste. Synthetic estrogens in EE2 tablets act as the largest distributor of estrogen in wastewater treatment plants.

**Materials and Methods**

This paper was carried out on the basis of studies accomplished from 2000 to 2017 and also the ones published in databases such as Google Scholar, Elsevier, Scopus, Science direct, Magiran, and SID using hormone removal, micro pollutant removal, removal hormones from wastewater, steroidal hormones, hormone removal from wastewater, and removal efficiency of micro pollutants as the keywords. Selecting articles process is shown in Figure 1. As it can be seen in Figure 1, with removal of unrelated found cases and additional search, 87 cases including reviews: 7, research:71, technical notes: 2, thesis: 1, rapid communication: 1, application note: 1, discussion: 1, rules: 1, final Report: 1, method: 1 were investigated. The above mentioned sources were then classified according to journal’s name (Table 1), subjects (Chart 1), and keywords (Chart 2).

![Figure 1: The process of selecting articles for this study](https://example.com/figure1.png)
Results

Health and environmental impacts of estrogenic compounds

Health impacts surveys have shown that estrogenic compounds changed the sexual reproduction of fish exposed to them \[32, 33\]. Most sexual interferences were observed among males.

High concentrations of EE2 in a lake showed a change of gender from male to female among species exposed to these pollutants. Exposure to EE2 with a concentration of about 9.86 mg/L resulted in reduction of mating among Zebra fish and reduction in female reproduction \[32\]. There are Phytoestrogens on a particular species of clover plants that cause infertility disorders in sheep.
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feeding on them. In a field study on the increased level of vitellogenin in female painted turtle it was reported that E2 exposure may cause reproductive disorders and change the energy level for survival. Some studies have shown low sperm count in men, loss of sexual health and breast cancer in women owing to exposure to estrogenic compounds. While other studies rejected this and reported other factors like geographical and cultural differences factors as the most important factors in reducing sperm. Systemic study of health impacts on humans involves many challenges because the long time between exposures and exacerbate clinical symptom depends on the age and duration of exposure.

**Estrogenic compounds removal methods**
Possible removal methods of human hormones from treatment units include volatility, biological and non-biological degradation, and adsorption. Table 2 shows the effect of each reported method in various studies. Investigating the efficiency of advanced oxidation processes was not the aim of this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation &amp; flocculation</td>
<td>Non-effective for most of micro pollutants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption (Activated Carbon) Membranes</td>
<td>More effective than coagulation &amp; flocculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF</td>
<td>Very low removal (8%) for estradiol, but hydrophobic membranes (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF,UF</td>
<td>Removal mechanism is adsorption to the polymer membrane surface not by size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat plate NF modules</td>
<td>Up to 60% for some compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of RO &amp; MF</td>
<td>Efficiency enhanced 56 to 90% except for Nonylphenol and ibuprofen compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBR</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attached growth process</td>
<td>Different based on process and compound, generally effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatility</td>
<td>Non-effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorption</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estrogenic compounds’ fate in engineered processes**
Although the existing treatment plants are designed based on nitrogen, carbon, and phosphorus removal, partial removal of EDCs has been observed in many cases. However, large differences in removal efficiency have been viewed by many studies. More than 98% removal of natural estrogens (E1 and E2) in Germany and more than 61% removal of E1 in similar treatment plants in Italy were reported by researchers. Different efficiencies emphasize on the importance of location specific geographical parameters in removal of these compounds. Progress of technical analysis in two decades, along with the development in industry have enabled researchers to study the occurrence and fate of normal and synthetic hormones in treatment plant even in mg/L level. Removal efficiency of treatment plants regarding three major hormones are listed in Table 3. The conventional treatment plants consist of preliminary, primary, and secondary, they also include tertiary treatment in the case of effluent discharges to ground or surface waters. Although each unit helps the overall performance of the plant, secondary also called biological treatment has an important role in the removal of estrogenic hormones.
Table 3: Comparison of removal efficiencies in activated sludge (AS) system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Hormone</th>
<th>R (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baronti</td>
<td>AS (Italy)</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EE2</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ternes</td>
<td>AS (Brazil)</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EE2</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similar studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main reasons for low E1 removal in comparison to other natural estrogens, are E2 to E1 oxidation by microorganisms under aerobic conditions and stability of estrogen conjugations especially sulfate conjugation. In addition to E1, EE2 compounds despite having less concentration than natural estrogen compounds are resistant in wastewater due to their hard degradability nature.

Discussion

Among the biological conventional processes, activated sludge relative to other methods is of greater efficiency in the removal. However, two-stage trickling filter with the final two steps settling can have efficiency equal to activated sludge, while single-stage trickling filter can not.\(^{14,25,47}\)

Andersen et al. (2003) reported that the synthetic hormone EE2 was detected in the waste activated sludge at a level of around 11 ng/g. Another study was performed in a full-scale WWTP announced that all three estrogens measured (E1, E2, EE2) were present in the activated and excess sludge despite their absence in the final effluent. Furthermore, the concentration of hormones in the activated sludge did not change across the plant despite the extensive treatment (two-step denitrification followed by nitrification with a combined SRT of 12 days)\(^{48}\). On the other hand, some researcher declare Conventional activated sludge system with nutrient removal process has shown high capability of estrogen removal. Anderson et al. described a municipal treatment plant with activated sludge systems for nitrification and de-nitrification of natural estrogens up to 98% and synthetic estrogens up to 90%. Natural estrogens decompose in nitrification and de-nitrification while EE2 only decomposes in nitrification conditions\(^ {43}\).

Conclusion

While wastewater effluents is expected to be the major source of estrogen in aquatic environments, surface runoff and livestock sewage may be other sources of these compounds. Removal efficiency varies according to circumstances and type of process. Accuracy of measurement methods is also different. There is a gap in investigating estrogen’s fate during the sludge stabilizing, which is mainly due to the problems associated with quantification of very low amounts of these micro-pollutants in sludge. Effect of operational parameters such as temperature was not identified well. Moreover, further research in this field is needed to determine the effects of thermal, mechanical, and chemical pre-treatments applied before aerobic and anaerobic digestion on fate and removal of estrogenic compounds that lead to increase decomposition capability, pathogen removal, and dehydrating of sludge. With regard to this study and its most important results, it can be concluded that further research can be conducted on determining steroidal hormones’ entry paths to water resources, their detection and measurement techniques, as well as the best and most economical removal methods in Iran.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge all reviewers.

Funding

The work was not funded.
Conflict of interest
Authors have no competing interests.

References
Importance of Hormones Monitoring


30. Fisher C, Lopez L. Automated Extraction and Determination of Human Hormones in Drinking Water Using Solid-Phase Extraction and HPLC with UV Detection.


35. Panter G, Thompson R, Sumpter J. Adverse reproductive effects in male fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas) exposed to...
Importance of Hormones Monitoring

MT Ghaneian, et al.

Importance of Hormones Monitoring


37. Lopez J. Endocrine-disrupting chemical pollution: why the EPA should regulate these chemicals under the clean water act. Sustainable Development Law & Policy. 2010; 10(3): 7.


