Journal of Environmental Health and Sustainable Development

Greywater, a New Alternative Approach for Domestic Wastewater Separation and Reuse in Iran

Hadi Eslami *

* Environmental Science and Technology Research Center, Department of Environmental Health Engineering, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

LETTER TO EDITOR

Article History:
Received: 26 March 2017
Accepted: 22 May 2017

Corresponding Author:
Hadi Eslami

Email:
hadieslami1986@yahoo.com

Tel:
+989177094695

Citation: Eslami H. **Greywater, a New Alternative Approach for Domestic Wastewater Separation and Reuse in Iran**. J Environ Health Sustain Dev. 2017; 2 (2): 257-9.

Nowadays, rapid increases in population, shortage of water resources, and mismanagement of available water resources have led most of the countries to search for new water resources [1- 3]. One of the most important alternative water resources to cope with water scarcity is treatment and reuse of domestic wastewater [4- 6]. Greywater (GW) includes about 60-70% of the total domestic wastewater produced in houses [7]. GW is a part of domestic wastewater, including effluents of showers, baths, wash basins, laundry, and kitchen sinks [8, 9]. Therefore, with appropriate reuse of GW, domestic potable water consumption would be reduced [10].

Treatment and reuse of GW have been adopted by several countries due to its safety, health, and economic cost [11- 15]. Moreover, GW has less pollution compared to the municipal wastewater and is therefore suitable for reuse [16].With proper treatment of this water, effluent can be used for irrigation, flash tanks at toilets, and other uses [17]. Considering that Iran is an arid country with a growing population and scarce water resources, appropriate strategies must be taken into account for efficient use of resources. Therefore, treatment and reuse of GW can compensate a part of water shortage.

Recently, various physical, chemical, and biological methods have been implemented for GW treatment. Studies showed that physical treatment systems such as multimedia filtration and membrane processes have good efficiency in removal of solids, but do not have a good efficiency in removal of organic compounds [18, 19]. Appropriate alternative to membrane processes such as Micro Filtration (MF), Ultra Filtration (UF), Nano Filtration (NF), and Reserve Osmosis (RO) is using these processes as a post treatment option for GW treatment [20]. Chemical processes have appropriate efficiency in removal of organic matter, suspended solids, and surfactants in GW; nonetheless, information on chemical treatment systems is limited; it is just known that these systems have very low hydraulic retention time

[DOR: 20.1001.1.24766267.2017.2.2.1.7]

while their cost is too high [21]. Therefore, chemical-biological or chemical-physical combination methods can be used for GW treatment to reduce the chemical methods' costs [22].

Biological treatment systems generally have good efficiency for removal of organic compounds in wastewater treatment. Integrated Fixed-film Activated Sludge (IFAS) as a biological treatment system is an integrated process containing microorganisms with suspended and attached growth. This system has higher resistance to organic and hydraulic loading shock than conventional activated sludge [23].

In this study, IFAS was investigated for GW treatment in 105 days. The results indicated that the IFAS systems have generally appropriate efficiency for GW treatment, especially to remove organic compounds (BOD_5, COD, TN and TP) and suspended solids, while using these systems alone do not have sufficient efficiency for removal of microorganisms [24].

As a result, to achieve standards for GW reuse, IFAS biological system can be used in combination with a disinfection or membrane filtration as an appropriate alternative method for GW treatment and reuse.

Acknowledgements

This Article is a Part of a Research Project (Code: 7217) adopted by the Environmental Science and Technology research center at Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences in Yazd.

References

1. Abdel-Shafy H, El-Khateeb M. Integration of septic tank and constructed wetland for the treatment of wastewater in Egypt. Desalination Water Treat. 2013; 51(16-18): 3539-46.
2. Dehghani R, Shayeghi M, Esalmi H, et al. Detrmination of organophosphorus pesticides (diazinon and chlorpyrifos) in water resources in Barzok, Kashan. Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2012; 14(10): 66-72.
3. Eslami H, Hematabadi PT, Ghelmani SV, et al. The performance of advanced sequencing batch reactor in wastewater treatment plant to remove organic materials and linear alkyl benzene sulfonates. Jundishapur Journal of Health Sciences. 2015; 7(3): e29620.
4. Finley S, Barrington S, Lyew D. Reuse of domestic greywater for the irrigation of food crops. Water Air Soil Pollut. 2009; 199(1-4): 235-45.
5. Shahi DH, Ebrahimi A, Esalmi H, et al. Efficiency of straw plants in removal of indicator pathogens from sub surface flow constructed wetlands of municipal wastewater in Yazd, Iran. Journal of Health and Development. 2012; 1(2): 147-55.
6. Shahi DH, Eslami H, Ehrampoosh MH, et al. Comparing the efficiency of cyperus alternifolius and phragmites australis in municipal wastewater treatment by subsurface constructed wetland. Pak J Biol Sci. 2013;16(8):379.
7. Friedler E. Quality of individual domestic greywater streams and its implication for on-site treatment and reuse possibilities. Environmental technology. 2004;25(9):997-1008.
8. Sanchez M, Rivero M, Ortiz I. Photocatalytic oxidation of grey water over titanium dioxide suspensions. Desalination. 2010;262(1):141-6.
9. Jabornig S, Podmirseg SM. A novel fixed fibre biofilm membrane process for on-site greywater reclamation requiring no fouling control. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2015;112(3):484-93.
10. Blanky M, Rodríguez-Martínez S, Halpern M, et al. Legionella pneumophila: from potable water to treated greywater; quantification and removal during treatment. Sci Total Environ. 2015;533:557-65.
11. Pinto U, Maheshwari B. Reuse of greywater for irrigation around homes in Australia: understanding community views, issues and practices. Urban Water Journal. 2010;7(2): 141-53.
12. Fountoulakis M, Markakis N, Petousi I, et al. Single house on-site grey water treatment using a

[DOR: 20.1001.1.24766267.2017.2.2.1.7]

submerged membrane bioreactor for toilet flushing. Sci Total Environ. 2016; 551: 706-11.
13. Yu ZL, Rahardianto A, DeShazo J, et al. Critical review: regulatory incentives and impediments for onsite graywater reuse in the United States. Water Environ Res. 2013; 85(7): 650-62.
14. Halalsheh M, Dalahmeh S, Sayed M, et al. Grey water characteristics and treatment options for rural areas in Jordan. Bioresour Technol. 2008;99(14):6635-41.
15. Kossida M, Tekidou A, Mimikou MA. Subsidies for drinking water conservation in Cyprus. In: Lago M, Mysiak J, Gomez CM, et al.New York: Springer; 2015. p. 89-103. (Global issues in Water Policy; vol 14)
16. Gross A, Kaplan D, Baker K. Removal of chemical and microbiological contaminants from domestic greywater using a recycled vertical flow bioreactor (RVFB). Ecol Eng. 2007; 31(2): 107-14.
17. Abdel-Kader AM. Studying the efficiency of grey water treatment by using rotating biological contactors system. Journal of King Saud University-Engineering Sciences. 2013; 25(2): 89-95.
18. Bani-Melhem K, Al-Qodah Z, Al-Shannag M, et al. On the performance of real grey water treatment using a submerged membrane bioreactor system. J Memb Sci. 2015;476:40-9.
19. Katukiza A, Ronteltap M, Niwagaba C, et al. A two-step crushed lava rock filter unit for grey water treatment at household level in an urban slum. J Environ Manage. 2014;133:258-67.
20. Ghunmi L. Characterization and treatment of grey water; options for (re) use: Wageningen Universiteit (Wageningen University); 2009. p. 197.
21. Pidou M, Memon FA, Stephenson T, et al. Greywater recycling: A review of treatment options and applications. Engineering Sustainability. 2007; 160(3): 119-31.
22. Bani-Melhem K, Smith E. Grey water treatment by a continuous process of an electrocoagulation unit and a submerged membrane bioreactor system. Chem Eng J. 2012; 198: 201-10.
23. Mehrdadi N, Azimi A, Bidhendi GN, et al. Determination of design criteria of an H-IFAS reactor in comparison with an extended aeration activated sludge process. J Environ Health Sci Eng. 2006. 3(1): 53-64.
24. Eslami H, Ehrampoush MH, Ghaneian MT, et al. Effect of organic loading rates on biodegradation of linear alkyl benzene sulfonate, oil and grease in greywater by integrated fixed-film activated sludge (IFAS). J Environ Manage. 2017; 193: 312-7.

[DOR: 20.1001.1.24766267.2017.2.2.1.7]